

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/721,453 | 11/26/2003 | Satoshi Sasaki | 117888 | 8104 | |
| 25944 75 | 90 08/10/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | | SEMENENKO, YURIY | | |
| P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA | · - | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| neen need need need need need need need | ., 22020 | | 2841 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/10/200 | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | يرسيح |
|---|--|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/721,453 | SASAKI ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Yuriy Semenenko | 2841 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addr | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT | | • | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| fidavit, or other evidend compliance with 37 CF | ce, which R 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | - | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig to than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic | ite extension fee e action; or (2) a |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in 3 | o avoid dismissal of the 37 CFR 41.37(a). | e appeal. Since |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co | onsideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | |
| NOTE: <u>The new limitations have not been consident</u> | | • • • | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | empliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 0. Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 6-12. | | II be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowan | ce because: |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500